



International Journal of Educational Methodology

Volume 6, Issue 3, 613 - 629.

ISSN: 2469-9632

<http://www.ijem.com/>

Investigation of Shared Leadership and Organizational Commitment in Primary and Secondary Schools: Malatya Case

Necati Cobanoglu*

Malatya Metropolitan Municipality,
TURKEY

Received: February 21, 2020 • Revised: June 10, 2020 • Accepted: August 11, 2020

Abstract: Shared leadership enables employees to develop positive feelings for their organizations and themselves. Especially, their devotional feelings and behaviours towards their organizations increase with the sharing of leadership. In this study, the shared leadership of the school and the organizational commitment levels of the teachers, their relationship with each other and the predictive status were examined. This study is a research within relational survey model. The data of the research were collected from 512 teachers in primary and secondary schools in Malatya districts of Turkey in the 2019-2020 academic year. The data were collected through the Shared Leadership Scale and the Organizational Commitment Scale of Teachers. For the analysis of the data, t-test, ANOVA test, correlation and regression analyzes were performed. According to the results of the research, shared leadership and organizational commitment levels in primary and secondary schools are high. There is a positively significant and moderate correlation between the shared leadership of the school and the organizational commitment of teachers. Shared leadership in primary and secondary schools positively and significantly predicts teachers' organizational commitment. For this reason, in order to increase the organizational commitment of teachers; it is important to create a sharing school life, in order to support teachers for the purposes of the organization and to include them in decision-making processes. According to the results obtained, it is recommended that young teachers with lower organizational commitment be given more duties, powers and responsibilities.

Keywords: *Leadership, shared leadership, organizational commitment, primary and secondary school.*

To cite this article: Cobanoglu, N. (2020). Investigation of shared leadership and organizational commitment in primary and secondary schools: Malatya case. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, 6(3), 613-629. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ijem.6.3.613>

Introduction

Leadership is one of the most important factors that organizations need to consider in order to achieve their goals. In the classical understanding, organizations would designate a leader to manage their employees, and employees would also be subject to this leader, but the changing time also developed leadership and led to the idea of sharing leadership with other employees instead of gathering one person. Shared leadership is one of the new types of leadership born out of the idea that employees contribute more to the organization and leadership. Shared leadership has found a significant place in the field of educational management in recent years and many studies have been carried out on this subject.

Studies have shown that there is a positive meaningful relationship between leadership behaviors and organizational commitment of employees (Savas et al., 2015). Will be able to prepare the individual emotionally and cognitively for the activities of the organization. This preparation will also increase the organizational commitment of the individual (Emhan & Gok, 2011). The relationship of modern man spending most of their time at work with organization can be positive or negative. While positive trust relationship established with organization; increasing the motivation, efficiency, effectiveness and performance of the employee, the organization is expressed to be more satisfied with its employee (Becker et al. 1996; Costigan et al. 1998; Gabarro, 1978). In addition, it also reduces employee dismissal or voluntarily leaving (Altuntas, 2008; Ersoy, 2019). Teachers' performances in educational organizations is very important for both students and national educational success. When evaluated from this point of view, teachers' commitment to school or their jobs will have a significant effect. Teachers devoted to the organization have a significant

*** Correspondence:**

Necati Cobanoglu. Malatya Metropolitan Municipality, Malatya, Turkey. ✉ necaticobanoglu@gmail.com



positive impact in their work, such as willing effort, self-sacrifice, focus and self-employment, and intense efforts for students' success and development. (Celep, 2000; Turhan et al., 2012).

Since the relationship between shared leadership and organizational commitment has not been adequately reviewed in the literature, this study is thought to contribute to the literature. In addition, the following questions examined are thought to bring practical benefits to practitioners in the field of education to increase organizational commitment: (1) What is the level of shared leadership and organizational dedication already in schools? (2) How are shared leadership and organizational commitment affected by variables such as age, gender, seniority? (3) What relationship is there between shared leadership and organizational commitment? (4) Determining how shared leadership and organizational commitment affect each other will bring practical benefits to educational practitioners.

Conceptual Framework

Organizational Commitment

In the literature, on organizational commitment has been studied using the term organizational commitment (Tuncer, 1995; Varoglu, 1993) and then organizational commitment (Balay, 2000; Balci, 2000; Celep, 1998; Sivik, 2018). Organizational commitment can be defined as employees' belief in the goals and the values of the organization, their making extraordinary efforts for these purposes, their willingness to strongly adhere to the organization and continue working for the organization (Boylu et al., 2007; Guclu, 2006; Yolac, 2008).

Organizational commitment has often been studied in literature under concepts such as the leadership roles of managers (Artun, 2008; Karagoz, 2008; Khasawneh et al., 2012; Leithwood & Sun, 2012) and academic achievement in educational organizations (Chung & Chan, 2015; Danna, 2002). In addition to these studies, the organizational commitment, which will be emphasized in this study, has been studied with executive behaviors (Benkhoff, 1997; Eroglu, 2007; Dick & Metcalfe, 2001; Duzener, 2006; Tan, 2016). According to Mayer and Allen, the factors affecting organizational commitment are divided into three groups: individual factors, organizational factors, and environmental factors (Alim, 2019; Gurdogan, 2018; Meyer & Allen, 1997). Each of these factors that affect organizational commitment has a distinct importance, but this study will focus more on how shared leadership within organizational factors affects organizational commitment.

Organizational commitment of teachers in educational organizations is significantly influenced by the behavior of managers (Dogan & Aslan, 2016; Bakan, 2011). In school management, the management style of official leaders significantly affects teachers' emotional ties with the organization (Halis, 2010). Teachers who devote themselves to their jobs or professions usually look for ways to leave the institution when faced with the negative managerial attitudes of the school management (Balay, 2014; Everett, 1992). The interaction and communication of both teachers and education leaders are of great importance in the emergence of the spirit of devotion (Alpagun, 2018; Celep, 2000). Organizational commitment is formed when teachers feel themselves belong to the school, participate in the school's decisions and cooperate with leaders (Danetta, 2002; Nguni et al., 2006). Organizational commitment has four dimensions: devotion to school, devotion to teaching, devotion to the teaching profession, and devotion to the working group (Alim, 2019; Celep, 2000). Commitment to school is to do more than one's own duties to fulfill the goals of the school (Balay, 2014). Staying in school more than the compulsory program of the school voluntarily, making extra efforts for the success of the school, and being eagerly involved in the activities of the school are among the exemplary behaviors of the commitment to the school (Celep, 2014). The commitment to teaching affairs is that teachers move their work to their daily lives and increase the time they spend in school for their work (Alim, 2019). Commitment to the teaching profession is to fulfill the behaviors required by the profession voluntarily, to protect their professional values and to behave as required by the profession in their relationships (Celep 2014). Commitment to the working group is that the teacher identifies himself or herself with friends at the school where he works, is happy to work with the teacher friends and sees them very close to him (Alim, 2019; Balay, 2014; Celep, 2014).

Shared Leadership

Shared leadership was first handled indirectly in the 1950s and 1960s (Gibb, 1954; Katz & Kahn, 1966). More detailed studies started in 1980s and 1990s (Firestone, 1996; Weiss & Cambone, 1994). Share means "use at the same time, divide between people, give some of yours" in Oxford Dictionary. The meaning of the shared leadership term used in the field of education is as follows; the leadership that is gathered in one person in classical organizations is shared with other employees of the organization and the leadership roles are played by many people working in the organization (Harris, 2003; Harrison, 2005; Spillane et al., 2001; Ozer & Beycioglu, 2013). It is seen that shared leadership is handled with a few different conceptualizations in the literature. The terms "Distributive Leadership", "Distributed Leadership" and "Sharing Leadership" are used in the same way as "Shared leadership". The term "distribute" evokes a distributor, that is, a single leader who distributes the mandate and authority he wants. Because of this connotation, it is not preferred to use expressions such as "Distributor Leadership" or "Distributive Leadership" instead of shared leadership in this study.

Shared leadership has three main features (Bolden et al., 2009):

- Leadership is a common feature created by the interaction of the people in the group.
- Leadership has no definite limits.
- Leadership practices are replicated with all employees.

These characteristics of shared leadership will ensure that the organization is always dynamic, holistic and interactive. This sharing-based interactive process will make the organization more efficient as it will increase both the individual and team capacities of the employees (Yukl, 2002). For the organization to be always dynamic, holistic and interactive, employees must adopt leadership and be willing to share. There are some aspects of shared leadership that differ from other types of leadership (Chen, 2007); focusing on student achievement and shared activities (As cited in Chen, 2007; Elmore, 2000), discovering the talents of employees (Gronn, 2002), crossing borders and focusing on the interaction of leaders and followers (Spillane, 2006).

Educational organizations are organizations where social interaction is so intense. These organizations are in an intense interaction between all employees within the organization as well as with the society. Many teachers are in constant interaction with each other as they work with the same students. Due to this interaction and the complex nature of educational organizations, it is necessary to develop decision-making methods with a common mind, rather than the leadership of the one who decides everything (Hoy & Miskel, 2010). It is important to create a structure that will allow employees to lead in different fields within the routine functioning of the organization (Beycioglu & Aslan, 2007). For the purposes of the organization, working groups (administrators, teachers, and other employees) must collaborate in all areas (Jacobs, 2010). In order to achieve this common goal, every employee in the organization must express their opinions clearly, take responsibility when necessary and be volunteer to share leadership to contribute to the creation of a common mind. Sharing leadership within the organization is the distribution of tasks and responsibilities at the highest possible level among all employees (Yener, 2014). Because of this sharing, it is thought that teachers will feel themselves more valuable and will be more attached to their organizations.

Shared Leadership Organizational Commitment Relationship.

There are studies indicating that leadership develops positive emotions in employees in educational organizations (Ozden, 1997; Terzi & Kurt, 2005). Organizational commitment is one of the positive emotions employees will develop against the organization. Based on this context, shared leadership is also thought to affect organizational commitment positively. In another study, it was stated that the positive relationship between managers and teachers, which are the main elements of schools, directly affects education and teaching (Kilinc, 2013). This positive relationship was expressed as supporting and valuing employees in the organization. Supporting employees and valuing their contributions can be considered as sharing leadership with them. In a study by Ince and Gul (2005), it was stated that active participation in decision-making processes, flexible and participatory management positively affect organizational commitment. There is evidence that sharing the leadership with the employees in all the stated works increases the organizational commitment in the employees. This study directly examines the relationship between shared leadership and organizational commitment.

Significance of Study and Rationale

Although researches on shared leadership and organizational commitment in educational organizations have increased in recent years, the relationship between these two variables has been studied in small numbers (Harris et al., 2007; Hulpia et al., 2011; Hulpia et al., 2009; Lashway, 2003; Spillane, 2006). In particular, much less has been studied on primary and secondary school (Agiroglu, 2013; Uslu & Beycioglu, 2013). While this study contributes to the literature with this aspect, it is important to compare and evaluate the studies previously conducted with different partner of education (Aydogan, 2018; Erol, 2016). Therefore, the main objective of this research is to examine the level of shared leadership and organizational commitment in primary and secondary schools in Turkey and the relationship between these two variables.

The hypotheses of the research are;

- 1- The shared leadership and organizational commitment in primary and secondary schools are high.
- 2- Shared leadership and organizational commitment differ according to demographic variables.
- 3- Shared leadership and organizational commitment are interrelated.
- 4- Shared leadership positively predicts organizational dedication to positive home.

Methodology

Model of the Research

The model of this research is the relational survey model. Relational survey model is a survey approach to determine whether two or more variables change together and if so, the direction of change (Karasar, 2007). In this model, there

are many elements in the universe. In order to make a general judgment about this universe, survey is done throughout the universe or on a sample group to be taken from it. In this study, since the entire universe is very large, a sufficient sized sample was taken from the universe and a survey was made.

Sample

Study universe of the research; It consists of teachers working in primary and secondary schools affiliated to the Ministry of National Education in the Malatya district of Turkey in the 2019-2020 academic year. There are 5645 teachers in primary and secondary schools in central of Malatya. These teachers constitute the universe of the research. Due to the difficulty of reaching the entire universe, time limitation and economic reasons, a sample of the size representing the universe was studied. The sample is defined as a subgroup large enough to represent the universe (Cingi, 1990). Schools to be sampled were chosen randomly, because the research universe is located in the center of Malatya and the general characteristics of the schools are similar. In the determination of the number of samples, the following calculation formula prepared for cases with a total number of masses in the universe was used (Cochran, as cited in Balci, 2010).

$$n = \frac{t^2 (PQ) / d^2}{1 + (1/N) t^2 (PQ) / d^2}$$

N: Universe size (5645)

n: Sample size

d: Tolerance level (0.05)

t: The table value of confidence level (1.96)

PQ: Percentage of sample for maximum sample size [(0.50)*(0.50)= 0.25]

As a result of the calculation made with the above formula, it was seen that sufficient sample size could be 359 teachers. In this study, 512 teachers were taken into consideration as a sample.

The frequency and percentage distributions of the sample showing demographic characteristics (gender, age, marital status, educational status, seniority and branch) are as in Table 1 below.

Table1. Demographic Features of Participants

Variables	Sub-dimensions	f	%	Variables	Sub-dimensions	f	%
Gender	Female	238	46.5	Education	Undergraduate	463	90.4
	Male	274	53.5		Graduate	49	9.6
Age	35 under age	158	30.9	Seniority	0-10 years	169	33.0
	35-50 age	181	35.4		11-20 years	183	35.7
	Above 50 age	173	33.8		Over 20 years	160	31.3
Marital status	Married	450	87.9	Branch	Class Teacher	241	47.1
	Single	62	12.1		Branch Teacher	271	52.9

Data Collection Tools

The form used to collect data in the universe of the research consists of three parts. In the first part, there are questions asking the demographic characteristics of the participants. In the second part, there is the shared leadership scale and in the third part, there is the organizational commitment scale of teachers in educational organizations.

1. Shared Leadership Scale

The shared leadership scale used in the research was developed by Wood (2005) and adapted to Turkish by Bostanci (2012). The scale consists of 18 clause and 4 sub-dimensions. Sub-dimensions are: completing tasks together (9 clauses), mutual skill development (2 clauses), decentralized interaction (4 clauses) and emotional support (3 clauses). The 12th, 14th and 15th clause of the decentralized interaction sub-dimension are reverse coded clause. In the reliability analysis of this scale by Bostanci (2012), Cronbach's Alpha (α) value was found to be 0.91. The Cronbach's Alpha value found for this research is 0.83. For all clauses of the shared leadership scale; 1- Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Undecided, 4- Agree, 5- Strongly Agree five-point likert-type evaluation criteria were used. While analyzing

the findings obtained with this scale, the arithmetic mean ranges 1.00-1.80: " Strongly Disagree", 1.81- 2.60: " Disagree", 2.61-3.40: " Undecided", 3.41-4.20: " Agree", 4.21 - 5.00: It was evaluated as " Strongly Agree".

2. Teachers' Organizational Commitment in Educational Organizational Questionnaire

"Organizational Commitment Scale of Teachers in Educational Organizations" developed by Celep (2000) was used to measure teachers' organizational commitment. The scale consists of four dimensions: "Commitment to School", "Commitment to Teaching Work", "Commitment to Teaching Occupation" and "Commitment to Working Group". The commitment to school consists of 9 clauses and two clauses are scored in the opposite direction. The size of the commitment to teaching occupation is 6, the size of the commitment to teaching work is 7, the commitment to working group consists of 6 clause. While the reliability coefficient of the scale by the researcher who developed the scale was found as Cronbach Alfa 0.88, it was found to be 0.91 in the analysis for this research. Organizational commitment scale is a five-point likert type and consists of 28 clauses. It is measured with the values of "always" 5, "mostly of the time" 4, "occasionally" 3, "rarely" 2 and "very rarely" 1. While analyzing the findings obtained with this scale, the arithmetic mean 1.00-1.80: "I do very little", 1.81- 2.60: "I do little", 2.61-3.40: "I do it occasionally", 3.41-4.20: "I do it often", 4.21-5.00: It is evaluated as "Always do".

Data Analysis

The data obtained in the field applications of the research were analyzed with the SPSS statistics program. The analysis steps of the data are seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Stages of data analysis

Findings

In this section, the findings obtained as a consequences of the analysis of the data are given in the following order:

- Average and standard deviations of shared leadership and organizational commitment were calculated.
- Significance status of shared leadership and organizational commitment level with respect to demographic variable difference was examined.
- Correlation analysis and regression analysis were conducted between shared leadership and organizational commitment.

The level of shared leadership and organizational commitment that exists in primary and secondary schools is seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Shared Leadership and Organizational Commitment Level in Primary and Secondary Schools

	N	The lowest value	The highest value	\bar{x}	SD
Shared Leadership	512	2.50	5.00	3.817	.511
Organizational Commitment	512	2.00	5.00	4.145	.608

According to Table 2, the average of shared leadership in primary and secondary schools is 3.82. This finding means "leadership is often shared in our school". The organizational commitment average is 4.15. The meaning of this value is "I often show devotional behavior". After determining this general level, it was investigated whether the level of shared leadership and organizational commitment in schools varies significantly according to demographic variables. The results of the t-test analysis according to gender, marital status, educational status and branch change are given in Table 3.

Table 3. Significance Status of Shared Leadership and Organizational Commitment Level by Gender, Marital Status, Educational Status and Branch Difference

	Gender	N	\bar{x}	SD	t	p
Shared Leadership	Female	238	3.841	.469	.360	.012
	Male	274	3.728	.531		
	Married	450	3.787	.509	.820	.413
	Single	62	3.731	.481		
	Undergraduate	463	3.784	.506	.486	.627
	Graduate	49	3.747	.502		
	Class Teacher	241	3.774	.520	1.689	.083
	Branch Teacher	271	3.659	.479		
Organizational Commitment	Female	238	4.089	.661	.389	.697
	Male	274	4.066	.676		
	Married	450	4.080	.678	.293	.769
	Single	62	4.053	.597		
	Undergraduate	463	4.087	.675	-2.024	.054
	Graduate	49	4.260	.569		
	Class Teacher	241	4.060	.669	-.525	.599
	Branch Teacher	271	4.091	.668		

*= $p < .05$

When Table 3 is analyzed, it is seen that there is a significant difference between women and men at the shared leadership level in primary and secondary schools $t(510) = .360, p < .05$. Looking at the averages to understand which gender is higher in this difference, it is seen that the shared leadership scores of women ($\bar{x} = 3.841$) are higher than men ($\bar{x} = 3.728$). When the shared leadership in elementary and secondary schools was analyzed in terms of marital status $t(510) = .820, p > .05$, educational status $t(510) = .468, p > .05$ and branch $t(510) = 1.689, p > .05$ variables, it was seen that there was no significant difference. When Table 3 is analyzed in terms of organizational commitment; No significant difference was obtained in any of the variables of gender $t(510) = .389, p > .05$, marital status $t(510) = .293, p > .05$, educational status $t(510) = -2.024, p > .05$ and branch $t(510) = -.525, p > .05$. According to age and seniority variables; Findings obtained in the analysis of shared leadership and organizational commitment are indicated in Table 4.

Table 4. Significance Status of Shared Leadership and Organizational Commitment According to Age and Seniority Difference "Tamhane Multiple Comparison Method" p Values Table

	Age	\bar{x}	Under 35 ages	35-50 ages	Above 50 ages
Shared Leadership	Under 35 ages	3.716		.917	.022
	35-50 age	3.782	.917		.052
	Above 50 age	3.956	.022	.052	
	Seniority	\bar{x}	0-10 years	11-20 years	Over 20 years
	0-10 years	3.772		.996	.028
	11-20 years	3.767	.996		.019
	Over 20 years	3.922	.028	.019	
	Age	\bar{x}	Under 35 ages	35-50 ages	Above 50 ages
Organizational Commitment	Under 35 age	4.073		.484	.007
	35-50 age	4.100	.484		.000
	Above 50 age	4.257	.007	.000	
	Seniority	\bar{x}	0-10 years	11-20 years	Over 20 years
	0-10 years	4.056		.466	.017
	11-20 years	4.136	.466		.232
	Over 20 years	4.248	.017	.232	

*=p<.05

As can be seen in Table 4, the shared leadership in primary and secondary schools differs significantly between some age groups $p < .05$. While teachers in the age group 35-50 do not differ significantly with any age group, it is seen that teachers under the age 35 differ significantly with teachers over 50 $p = .022$. Looking at the averages to see which age group has higher shared leadership perception, it is seen that the arithmetic mean of the teachers over 50 years old ($\bar{x} = 3.956$) is significantly higher than the teachers under 35 years old ($\bar{x} = 3.716$). When the organizational commitment level is analyzed by age groups; it is seen that teachers over 50 differ significantly from teachers under 35 and 35-50. When the averages are analyzed by age groups, it is seen that teachers over 50 ($\bar{x} = 4.257$) have higher organizational commitment than the other two have.

When the shared leadership and organizational commitment are examined with respect to the variable of seniority, the following results were obtained:

- As can be seen from Table 4, the shared leadership in primary and secondary schools differs significantly according to seniority $p < .05$. Teachers with more than 20 years of seniority differ significantly from all other teachers $p = .028$, $p = .019$. When averages are examined to see which seniority group has higher shared leadership perception, it is seen that teachers with seniority over 20 years ($\bar{x} = 3.922$) have a significantly higher arithmetic average than teachers with less seniority.
- At the organizational commitment level; It is seen that teachers with seniority over 20 years differ significantly from those who have 0-10 years of seniority and 11-20 years of seniority. When the averages are analyzed according to the seniority groups, it is seen that the teachers with seniority over 20 years ($\bar{x} = 4.248$) have higher organizational commitment than the other two groups.

According to the findings obtained in Table 4; when all age groups are evaluated, it can be said that the group over 50 years old believes that leadership is shared in schools more than other age groups. Similarly, it can be stated that the group over 50 has a higher level of organizational commitment than other age groups. In the findings obtained in the study of the seniority variable; it is seen that teachers with seniority over 20 years have higher perception of shared leadership and organizational commitment than other teachers. The correlation between shared leadership and organizational commitment, another finding obtained in the research, is shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Correlation between Teachers' Shared Leadership and Organizational Commitment Levels

	Shared Leadership	Organizational Commitment
Shared Leadership	1	
Organizational Commitment	.462**	1

**p<.01

In Table 5, the correlation analysis of the shared leadership in primary and secondary schools with the organizational commitment is examined. According to the findings; There is a positive, moderate and significant correlation $r = .462$, $p = .000$. "r" value in correlation analysis; It shows a low level correlation between 0.00-0.29, a medium level between .30 - .69 and a high level between .70 - 1.00 (Saruhan & Ozdemirci, 2013). After determining this meaningful correlation, regression analysis was performed to determine the predicted state of organizational commitment of shared leadership. Regression analysis data is seen in Table 6.

Table 6. Multiple Regression Analysis of Predicting Teachers' Organizational Commitment by Perceived Shared Leadership

Variable	B	Standard Error	β	t	p	R ²	Adjusted R ²	Binary r	Partial r
Constant	1.816	0.243		9.657	.000*				
Shared Leadership	0.523	0.067	0.482	0.678	.000*	0.168	0.152	0.373	0.354

Dependent Variable: Organizational Commitment $F(1,511)=22,667$ *:p<.01

When the regression model in Table 6 is examined, the standardized regression coefficients are: $\beta = .482$, $t = 9.657$, $p < .01$ are observed. This reveals that the shared leadership in schools significantly predicts teachers' organizational commitment in a positive way. According to the results of this analysis, approximately 15% of teachers' organizational commitment is explained by the shared leadership of the school $R^2 = .152$.

Discussion

Leadership is increasing in importance due to national and global changes. As a result, leadership is handled with new variables and different dimensions (Harris & Spillane, 2008; Sammons et al., 1995). One of these changes in the leadership field is based on the understanding of "building a common leadership culture" in which more people contribute to leadership in organizations (Duignan, 2003). The common leadership approach requires that leadership be shared among employees and that each employee contributes to the organization with their interest, talent and expertise. Today, the understanding that the organization can be an extraordinarily talented "hero leader" that has all the solutions it needs is weakening (Bakir & Aslan, 2014). Contemporary organizations are in complex and high expectations, waiting for many employees to contribute and lead (Hartley, 2007; Jacobs, 2010). It is thought that the expected contribution and leadership from employees in their field of expertise can only be achieved through shared leadership and organizational dedication.

In the study, firstly "What is the level of shared leadership and organizational commitment in primary and secondary schools in Turkey?" The answer to the question has been sought. The result obtained; is that the shared leadership in primary and secondary schools is "generally / mostly high". When this result is compared with other studies in the literature, it is seen that similar results are obtained. Some studies on all levels of school in Turkey (Korkmaz, 2011; Uslu & Beycioglu, 2013) found out that shared leadership was at a "high" level, while a study in primary schools (primary and secondary schools) was at a "mostly shared" level (Yilmaz, 2013). Similar to these studies, there are many studies that state that the level of shared leadership is "mostly shared" (Saricicek; 2014) and "high" (Bakir & Aslan, 2014; Cobanoglu, 2019). Based on the results of these studies in the field, it can be stated that the shared leadership in schools in Turkey is at a high level with respect to to the teachers' opinions.

The result on the level of organizational commitment is that "I often display devotional behaviors", such as shared leadership. Similar to this study, it is observed that teachers have a high level of organizational commitment in the organizational devotional studies conducted on the overall educational organizations (Altunay, 2017; Aytekin, 2016; Babaoglan & Erturk, 2013; Celep et al., 2004; Erturk, 2011; Erturk, 2014; Uzun, 2011). Similar results were obtained in studies on organizational only in primary education institutions. In the study conducted in primary education by Zog (2007), teachers often stated that they showed "organizational devotional" behaviors. Studies to determine the level of organizational commitment in higher education institutions and private education institutions also show that teachers have a "high level" organizational commitment (Afacan, 2011; Artun, 2008; Dogan & Aslan, 2016; Ekinci, 2012). Some qualitative (Balyer, 2015) and quantitative (Kalaz, 2016) studies in which teachers' organizational devotions were studied showed that teachers' organizational devotions were low in contrast to this study. In the vast majority of

studies conducted at all levels of education, it is observed that organizational commitment is at a high level in educational organizations.

The second in this study examined whether shared leadership perceptions and organizational commitment of teachers in primary and secondary schools differ significantly in terms of demographic variables. When teachers' perceptions of shared leadership are examined in terms of demographic variables, it is observed that shared leadership differs significantly in terms of gender, age, and seniority variables. In terms of gender, women's perceptions of shared leadership in their schools are significantly higher than men's. Similar results are also seen in various studies. A study by Grant (2011) in public schools in North Carolina shows that women have a higher perception of shared leadership than men. Many studies that indicate that shared leadership is high in favor of women are also seen in the literature. (Aksoy & Bostanci, 2019; Aydogan, 2018; Yener, 2014; Yilmaz, 2013). In a report published by "Egitim-Bir-Sen", an education trade union (Baltaci et al., 2020), it is stated that the demands of women to become managers are low for various reasons. Women under the pressure of both professional career and family responsibility (especially women who are mothers) delay or give up leadership demands (Rutherford, 2001). Unlike these studies, a study conducted by Copper (2012) in secondary schools indicated that male teachers had a greater perception of shared leadership than female teachers.

Shared leadership did not differ significantly with respect to teachers' marital status, educational status and branch change. Various studies also show that the marital status of teachers (Aydogan, 2018), educational status (Akgun, 2019; Cinar & Bozgeyikli, 2015; Isik, 2018; Sarbay & Bostanci, 2018; Yener, 2014) and branch differences (Akgun, 2019; Yener, 2014; Yilmaz, 2013) do not make significant differences in shared leadership. When the difference in teachers' perceptions of shared leadership was examined according to the age variable, it was observed that teachers in the 20-35 age range did not differ significantly with any age group. However, shared leadership perceptions of teachers over the age of 50 were significantly higher than those of teachers aged 35-50. Similar to this study, Yener's (2014) doctoral dissertation has determined that shared leadership perceptions of teachers under the age of 25 were significantly lower than those of older age. It also stated that teachers aged 50 and over had the highest average among other age groups, adding that "as age progresses the perception of shared leadership reaches a more positive level." Both studies indicate that teachers' perceptions of shared leadership also increase as their age increases. In another study, it was stated that the shared leadership of the 41-50 age group was significantly higher than those of the younger age (Iskan, 2014).

When the levels of shared leadership were examined with respect to the seniority of the teachers, significant differences were observed between those with high seniority and those with low seniority. Teachers with high seniority also had high shared leadership scores. This situation can be interpreted in two ways. First; since their enthusiasm for leadership is reduced and their willingness to take responsibility is low teachers with high seniority think that sharing is adequate. Second, teachers with high seniority may have given high leadership scores because they do not have high expectations because they know with experience which areas leadership will be shared and which areas will not be shared within the general characteristic of schools. There are many studies in the literature that shared leadership perceptions increase as teachers' seniority increases, and there are positive and significant differences between teachers with high seniority in favor of high seniority (Agiroglu Bakir, 2013; Aslan & Agiroglu Bakir, 2015); Cinar & Bozgeyikli, 2015; Iskan, 2014; Ray, 2019; Sarbay & Bostanci, 2018;). When gender, age and seniority changes are generally evaluated, women believe that leadership is shared more than men, and employees with higher age and seniority express that leadership is shared more.

When examining whether teachers' organizational devotions differ in terms of demographic variables, it is observed that they do not differ significantly in terms of gender, marital status, educational status and branch. Age and seniority variables are significantly different. Teachers who are more advanced in terms of age and seniority have a higher level of organizational commitment than other have. Many studies have shown that organizational commitment increases with the increase in age (Allen & Meyer, 1990; Angle & Perry, 1981; Apak, 2009; Artun, 2008; Celik, 2011; Erturk, 2011; Hawkins, 1998; Kizil, 2014; Meyer & Allen, 1997). Contrary to these studies, there are also studies that conclude that organizational commitment does not make a significant difference in age (Cengiz et al. 2014; Ekinci, 2012).

In this study, it was observed that teachers' organizational commitment differed significantly according to the seniority variable. This significant difference suggests that the organizational commitment of teachers with high seniority is also high. Similar to the results of this study, many studies indicate high organizational commitment of teachers with high seniority (Altunay, 2017; Atar, 2009; Coban & Demirtas, 2011; Kalaz, 2016; Kizil, 2014; Mathiu & Zajac, 1990; Zog, 2007). In some research results, no significant differences were found in terms of seniority variable at organizational devotional level (Cengiz et al., 2014; Dogan & Aslan, 2016; Gici, 2011; Karatas & Gules, 2010). The high level of organizational commitment of teachers with high seniority and age obtained as a result of this research can be attributed to several reasons:

- First, teachers can be more devoted to their organizations due to the decline in the probability of finding jobs in other organizations with the advancement of age (Ozden, 1997).
- Another reason may be emotional devotion to the organization, which has been labored for a long time.

- Another reason may be that organizational devotion may increase the idea that the position gained in the current organization, based on promotion or respect, will be difficult to establish in another organization or it will take a long time.

The third result in this study is the correlation of shared leadership in primary and secondary schools with the organizational commitment of teachers. According to this result, there was a positive and moderately significant correlation between shared leadership and organizational commitment. There are few studies in the literature in which both shared leadership and organizational commitment studied. One of these studies is the work of Ulusoy (2014). This study indicates that shared leadership has a positive and moderate correlation with organizational commitment. While there is little direct research done on correlation between shared leadership and organizational commitment, there are many studies done with variables such as school commitment, organizational trust, and organizational citizenship that are used closely with organizational commitment. Shared leadership has been expressed to be positively and moderately associated with organizational commitment, school commitment, and organizational trust (Cicek, 2018; Erol, 2016; Hulpia et al., 2012; Uslu & Beycioglu, 2013). A study by Bostanci (2013) also stated that shared leadership and organizational citizenship behaviors are related. In another study that examined the correlation of organizational commitment of managers to autonomy, power transfer and shared justice at work, all three variables were related to shared leadership (Dude, 2012). The study by Ray (2019) also identified a positively moderate correlation of shared leadership practices with organizational trust. As seen in these studies, shared leadership has been studied with many significant variables close to organizational commitment. In all of the reviews, shared leadership was positively associated with organizational commitment, school commitment, organizational trust, shared justice and organizational citizenship behavior. As mentioned studies, this study also indicates that there is a positive, moderate and meaningful correlation between shared leadership and organizational commitment.

The fourth conclusion in this study is that shared leadership in primary and secondary schools predicts the organizational commitment of teachers. Results from regression reveal that 15% of teachers' organizational devotions are provided by shared leadership. In other words, the variance explained is 15%. There have been few studies in the literature that examine the state of organizational commitment of shared leadership. Similar to this study, shared leadership in the study by Ulusoy (2014) predicts the organizational commitment of teachers. However, this study obtained the result that shared leadership provided 15 percent of organizational commitment, whereas Ulusoy's (2014) study provided 25 percent. In the literature, shared leadership has been studied more with concepts such as organizational commitment, organizational citizenship, and organizational trust, which are closely meaningful and largely analogous to organizational commitment. In studies with variables of shared leadership and organizational commitment, it is observed that shared leadership predicts organizational commitment (Agiroglu Bakir & Aslan, 2014; Akdemir, 2016; Nguni et al., 2006; Park, 2005; Tsui & Cheng, 1999; Uslu & Beycioglu, 2013). Similarly, shared leadership also predicts school commitment, organizational citizenship, and organizational trust (Erol, 2016; Ray, 2019). The results obtained from the analysis of the literature and the results obtained from this research are generally similar. As a general result, it can be stated that sharing leadership creates a more positive approach for teachers towards the organisation and enables them to be committed to the organisation.

Conclusion

As a result of the research, it was found that the level of shared leadership and organizational commitment according to the perceptions of teachers in primary and secondary schools in Turkey is high. In other words, leadership in schools is shared among employees and teachers have a high level of commitment to their schools. When examined in terms of demographic variables, it was found that female teachers 'perception of shared leadership was higher than male teachers'. This may be due to low leadership demands by female teachers, especially women with children. Because the person who does not have a leadership request will be able to see enough of the existing situation. Teachers of high age and seniority also perceive shared leadership higher than other teachers. This perception can be formed when school principals consult teachers with higher age and seniority about the work to be done and decisions to be made, and take their opinions. Teachers ' organizational devotions are also influenced by the variable age and seniority. The result was that teachers with higher age and seniority were more commitment to their organizations. This may be because teachers of high age and seniority have served their schools for many years because of their emotional attachment or because their energy is low for a new start at another school. Teachers of high age and seniority may tend to maintain the position and dignity they have achieved in their current school.

Another result is that the shared leadership of the school is associated with the organizational commitment of teachers. There is a positive, moderate and meaningful relationship between shared leadership and organizational commitment. The regression analysis performed after the acquisition of this relationship concluded that shared leadership predicts organizational commitment. This result shows that increasing shared leadership in schools will also increase organizational commitment.

Suggestions

The following suggestions may be made as a result of this research:

- It is seen that the leadership shared in this research predicts organizational commitment. For this reason, in order to increase the organizational commitment to the level of "I always show devotional behavior"; Along with school principals, teachers should give opportunities to lead.
- According to the results of this research, the shared leadership and organizational commitment level scores of men are lower than women. The reason for this situation can be examined in depth with qualitative studies. Similarly, the reason why young teachers' scores are lower than teachers over the age of 50 and teachers with lower seniority than those with seniority over 20 years can be examined.
- Based on the results of this study, sharing the leadership can be increased to increase the organizational commitment of the employees.
- To increase the organizational commitment of especially male teachers, young teachers and low seniority teachers; they can be offered more leadership opportunities, more tasks, powers and responsibilities.
- Legal arrangements can be made to ensure that leadership is shared among employees in educational organizations.

Limitations

This research was conducted in the central districts of a metropolitan city. Different results may be obtained in a different survey conducted in the countryside. Therefore, care should be taken in generalizing the results of this research to whole of Malatya or Turkey. Another limitation is that this research is done only in primary and secondary schools. The results of the study do not cover all educational organizations.

Reference

- Afacan, O. (2011). *Ortaogretim ogretmenlerinin orgutsel adanmislik duzeyleri ile mudurlerin liderlik davranislarini algilama duzeyleri arasindaki iliski* [The relationship between secondary education teachers' levels of organizational commitment and perceptions of the leaders' leadership behavior] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Yeditepe University.
- Agiroglu Bakir, A. (2013). *Ogretmenlerin paylasilan liderlik ve orgutsel baglilik algilari arasindaki iliskinin analizi* [Analysis of the relationship between teachers' shared leadership and organizational commitment perceptions] [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. Inonu University.
- Agiroglu Bakir, A., & Aslan M. (2014). Paylasilan liderligin ogretmenlerin orgutsel bagliligi uzerindeki etkisi [The effect of shared leadership on teachers' organizational commitment]. *E-International Journal of Educational Research*, 5(3), 56-71.
- Akdemir, O. A. (2016). *Ogretmen algilarina gore okul yoneticilerinin dagitimci liderlik davranislarinin okullarin akademik iyimserlikleri ile orgutsel baglilik ve okul basarisıyla iliskisi* [According to teacher perceptions, the distributional leadership behaviors of school administrators are related with the academic optimism of schools and organizational commitment and school success] [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. Ataturk University.
- Akgun, O. F. (2019). *Dagitimci liderlik davranislarinin okul turune ve mudurlerin belirli ozelliklerine gore incelenmesi* [Examination of the distributionist leadership behaviors according to the school tour and specific characteristics of the managers] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Maltepe University.
- Aksoy E., & Bostanci, B. A. (2019). Ogretmenlerin okullardaki paylasilan liderlik uygulamalarına yönelik algilari ile orgutsel sinizm duzeyleri arasindaki iliski [The relationship between teachers' perceptions to shared leadership in schools and levels of organizational cynicism]. *Future Visions Journal/ Gelecek Vizyonlar Dergisi*, 9(3), 49-59. <https://doi.org/10.29345/futvis.104>.
- Alim, N. (2019). *Ogretmenlerin algilarina gore ogretmen liderligi ile orgutsel adanmislik arasindaki iliskinin incelenmesi* [Examining the relationship between teacher leadership and organizational commitment based on teachers' perceptions] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Recep Tayyip University.
- Allen, N. J., & Mayer, J. P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Psychology*, 63(1), 1-38.
- Alpugan Sirin, E. (2018). *Orgutsel prestij algisi ile calisanlarin orgute bagliliklari arasindaki iliskide lider uye etkilesiminin rolu uzerine bir arastirma* [A research on the role of leader member interaction in the relationship between the perception of organizational prestige and the organization's commitment to employees] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Marmara University.

- Altuntas, S. (2008). *Hemsirelerin orgutsel guven duzeyleri ile kisisel-mesleki ve orgutsel vatandaslik davranislari arasindaki iliski* [The relationship between nurses' organizational trust levels and their personal-professional and organizational citizenship behavior] [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. Istanbul University.
- Altunay, E. (2017). İlkogretim okulu ogretmenlerinin orgutsel guven ve adanmislik duzeyleri arasindaki iliskinin incelenmesi [Investigation of the relationship between primary school teachers' levels of organizational trust and commitment]. *Journal of National Education/ Milli Egitim Dergisi*, 46(213), 37-66.
- Angle, H. L., & Perry, J. L. (1981). An empirical assessment of organizational commitment and organizational effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 26(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2392596>
- Apak, E. G. (2009). *Yildirma eylemleri ve orgutsel adanmislik iliskisi: İlkogretim okulu ogretmenleri uzerinde bir arastirma* [Intimidation actions and relationship between organizational commitment: A research on primary school teachers] [Unpublished master's thesis], Marmara University.
- Artun, B. (2008). *Anadolu lisesi ogretmenlerinin orgutsel adanmislik duzeylerinin orgutsel degismeye iliskin tutumlarina etkisi: Sakarya Ili ornegi* [The effect of the Anatolian high school teachers' organizational commitment levels on their attitudes towards organizational change] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Yeditepe University.
- Aslan, M., & Agiroglu Bakir, A. (2015). Okullarda paylasilan liderlik ve orgutsel baglilik etkilesimi: nitel bir calisma [Shared leadership and organizational commitment interaction in schools: a qualitative study]. *Electronic International Journal of Education, Arts, and Science*, 1(2), 1-30.
- Atar, G. (2009). *Ilkogretim ogretmenlerinin orgutsel adanmisliklari ile mudurlerin liderlik davranislarini algilamaları arasindaki iliski* [The relationship between primary teachers' organizational commitment and perception of the leadership behavior of the principals] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Maltepe University.
- Aydogan, H. (2018). *Ozel egitim okullarında ve rehabilitasyon merkezlerinde calisan yoneticiler ve ogretmenlerin paylasilan liderlik algisi ile orgutsel baglilik duzeyleri* [Shared leadership perception and organizational commitment levels of managers and teachers working in private education schools and rehabilitation centers] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Usak University.
- Aytekin, M. (2016). *Lider uye etkilesiminin orgutsel adanmislik uzerine etkisi* [The effect of leader member interaction on organizational commitment] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Suleyman Demirel University.
- Babaoglan, E., & Erturk, E. (2013). Ogretmenlerin orgutsel adalet algisi ile orgutsel adanmisliklari arasindaki iliski [The relationship between teachers' perceptions of organizational justice and their organizational commitments]. *Hacettepe University Faculty of Education Journal/ Hacettepe Universitesi Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi*, 28(2), 87-101.
- Bakan, I. (2011). *Orgutsel stratejilerin temeli orgutsel baglilik kavram, kuram, sebep ve sonuclar* [The basis of organizational strategies, organizational commitment concepts, theory, cause and results]. Gazi Kitapevi.
- Bakir, A. A., & Aslan, M. (2014). Paylasilan liderligin ogretmenlerin orgutsel baglilik uzerindeki etkisi [The effect of distributed leadership on teachers' organizational commitment], *e-International Journal of Educational Research* 5(3), 56-71. <https://doi.org/10.19160/e-ijer.38888>
- Balay, R. (2000). *Yoneticiler ve ogretmenlerde orgutsel baglilik* [Organizational commitment in managers and teachers]. Nobel.
- Balay, R. (2014). *Yoneticiler ve ogretmenlerde orgutsel adanmislik* [Organizational commitment to managers and teachers]. Pegem A.
- Balci, A., (2000). *Orgutsel gelisme kuram ve uygulama* 2.bs [Organizational development theory and Practice, 2 editions]. Pegem A.
- Balci, A. (2010). *Sosyal bilimlerde arastirma yontem, teknik ve ilkeler* [Research methods, techniques and principles in social sciences] (8th ed.). Pegem Akademi.
- Baltaci, I. K., Bolukbas, S., Ates, E., & Yildirim, B. (2020, March 18). *Kadin ogretmenlerin calisma hayati: Tespitler oneriler* [Working life of female teachers: determinations suggestions]. Egitim-Bir-Sen https://www.ebs.org.tr/ebs_files/files/yayinlarimiz/Kadin_Ogretmenlerin_Calisma_Hayati_Tespitler_Oneriler.pdf
- Balyer, A. (2015). Orgutsel adanmislik: Turkiye'deki ogretmenlerin algilari [Organizational commitment: perceptions of teachers in Turkey]. *Hacettepe University Faculty of Education Journal/ Hacettepe Universitesi Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi*, 30(2), 1-14.
- Becker, T. E., Billings, R. S., Eveleth, D. M., & Gilbert, N. L. (1996). Foci and bases of employee commitment: Implications for job performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(2), 464-482.
- Benkhoff, B. (1997). Ignoring commitment is costly: New approaches establish the missing link between commitment and performance. *Human Relations*, 50(6), 701-726. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016904305906>

- Beycioglu, K., & Aslan, M. (2007). The need for organizational innovations in public elementary schools. *International Journal of Educational Reform*, 16(1), 27-37.
- Bolden, R., Petrov, G., & Gosling, J. (2009). Distributed leadership in higher education. *Educational Management Administration and Leadership*, 37(2), 257-277.
- Bostanci, A. B. (2012). Paylasilan liderlik algisi olcegi'nin Turkce uyarlamasi [Turkish adaptation of shared leadership perception scale]. *International Journal of Human Sciences*, 9(2), 1619-1631.
- Bostanci, A. B. (2013). The prediction level of teachers' organizational citizenship behaviors on the successful practice of shared leadership. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 2013(51), 177-194.
- Boylu, Y., Pelit, E., & Gucer, E. (2007). Akademisyenlerin orgutsel baglilik duzeyleri uzerine bir arastirma [A research on the levels of organizational commitment of academics]. *Journal of Financial Political and Economic Comments/Finans Politik ve Ekonomik Yorumlar Dergisi*, 44(511), 55-74.
- Celep, C. (1998). Egitim orgutlerinde ogretmenlerin orgutsel adanmisligi [Organizational commitment of teachers in educational organizations]. *Eduation and Science/ Egitim ve Bilim*, 22(108), 56-62.
- Celep, C. (2000). *Egitimde orgutsel adanma ve ogretmenler* [Organizational commitment and teachers in education]. Ani.
- Celep, C. (2014). *Egitim orgutlerinde orgutsel adanma* [Organizational commitment in educational organizations]. Nobel.
- Celep, C., Doyuran, S., Saridede, U., & Degirmenci, T. (2004, July 6-9). *Egitim orgutlerinde cok boyutlu is etigi ve orgutsel adanmislik* [Multidimensional business ethics and organizational commitment in educational organizations] [Paper presentation]. XIII. Ulusal Egitim Bilimleri Kurultayi [XIIIth National Educational Sciences Congress], Inonu University, Malatya, Turkey.
- Cengiz, R., Turgut, M., & Kabakci, A. (2014). Besyo ogretim elemanlarinin adanmislik duzeylerinin belirlenmesi [Determination of devotional levels of physical education teaching staff]. *International Journal of Science Culture and Sport*, 2(Special Issue 1), 582-593.
- Chen, Y. -H. (2007). *Principals' distributed leadership behaviors and their impact on student achievement in selected elementary schools in Texas* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. Texas A&M University.
- Chung, Y. S., & Chan, P-H. (2015). Relationships among participation in professional learning communities, organizational commitment, and teaching effectiveness of elementary school teachers in Pingtung country. *National Changhua University of Education*, 8(2), 69-98. <https://doi.org/10.3966/207136492015080802004>
- Costigan, R. D., Ilter, S. S., & Berman, J. J. (1998). A multi-dimensional study of trust in organizations. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 10(3), 303-317.
- Celik, S. (2011). *Ortaogretim kurumlarinda calisan ogretmenlerin orgutsel adanmisliklari ile ogretmenlere yonelik yildirma davranislari arasindaki iliski* [The relationship between the organizational Devotions of teachers working in middle school institutions and the intimidation behavior of teachers] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Yildiz Teknik University.
- Cinar, A., & Bozgeyikli, H. (2015). Ortaogretim kurumlarinda paylasilan liderlik algisi [Shared leadership perception in secondary education institutions]. *Turkiye Sosyal Politika ve Calisma Hayati Arastirmalari Dergisi/ Turkey Social Policy and Labor Studies Journal*, 5(9), 42-60.
- Cingi, H. (1990). *Ornekleme kurami* [Sampling theory]. Hacettepe Universiyesi Fen Fakultesi Yayinlari/ Hacettepe University Faculty of Science Publications.
- Cicek, E. (2018). *Egitim kurumlarinda dagitimci liderlik ve orgutsel guven arasindaki iliski: Kayseri il merkezi ornegi* [The relationship between distributor leadership and organizational trust in educational institutions: Kayseri city center example] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Nevsehir Hacibektas Veli University.
- Coban, D., & Demirtas, H. (2011). Okullarin akademik iyimserlik duzeyi ile ogretmenlerin orgutsel baglilik arasindaki iliski [The relationship between the academic optimism level of schools and the organizational commitment of teachers]. *Educational Administration in Theory and Practice/ Kuram ve Uygulamada Egitim Yonetimi*, 17(3), 317-348.
- Cobanoglu, N., & Bozbayindir F. (2019). A study on shared leadership and positive psychological capitals of teachers at primary and secondary schools. *Universal Journal of Educational Research* 7(5), 1265-1274. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2019.070512>
- Dannetta, V. (2002). What factors influence a teacher's commitment to student learning? *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 1(2), 144-171.

- David H. (2007). The emergence of distributed leadership in education: Why now? *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 55(2), 202-214.
- Dick, G., & Metcalfe, M. (2001). Managerial factors and organizational commitment - a comparative study of police officers and civilian staff. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 14(2), 114-127
- Dogan, U., & Aslan, H. (2016). Ozel egitim kurumlarinda calisan mudurlerinin hizmetkar liderlik davranislari ile ogretmenlerin orgutsel adanmislik duzeyleri arasindaki iliski [The relationship between the servant leadership behaviors of the directors working in private education institutions and the organizational commitment levels of teachers]. *Ondokuz Mayis University Faculty of Education Journal/ Ondokuz Mayis Universitesi Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi*, 35(2), 51-68. <https://doi.org/10.7822/omuefd.35.2.4>
- Dude, D. J. (2012). *Organizational commitment of principals: The effects job autonomy, empowerment, and distributive justice* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. University of Iowa.
- Duignan, P., & Bezzina, M. (2006, February 15-16). Building a capacity for shared leadership in schools teachers as leaders of educational chance [Paper presentation]. *5th International Educational Leadership Conference*, University of Wollongong, Australia.
- Duignan, P. A. (2003). *SOLR Project: Contemporary challenges and implications for leaders in frontline service organizations*. ACU National.
- Duzener, S. (2006). *Ozel ilk ve ortaogretim okullarinda toplam kalite yonetimi uygulamasinin yonetici ve ogretmenlerin orgutsel adanmislik duzeyine etkisi* [The effect of total quality management practice on the organizational commitment level of administrators and teachers in private primary and secondary schools] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Yeditepe University.
- Ekinci, O. (2012). *Ortaogretim okulu ogretmenlerinin yildirma davranislari ile orgutsel adanmisliklari arasindaki iliski* [Relationship between mobbing behaviors and organizational commitments of secondary school teachers] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Necmettin Erbakan University.
- Emhan, A., & Gok, R. (2011). Bankacilik sektorunde personel memnuniyeti and orgutsel baglilik arasindaki iliskilerin arastirilmesi [Investigation of the relationships between personnel satisfaction and organizational commitment in the banking sector]. *Accounting and Finance Magazine/ Muhasebe and Finansman Dergisi*, (51), 157-173.
- Eroglu, S. (2007). *Toplam kalite yonetimi uygulanan ortaogretim kurumlarinda ogretmenlerin orgutsel adanmislik ve motivasyon duzeyleri* [Organizational commitment and motivation levels of teachers in secondary education institutions where total quality management is applied] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Yeditepe University.
- Erol, Y. C. (2016). *Paylasilan liderlik, aile katilimi ve okula baglilik arasindaki iliski* [The relationship between shared leadership, family involvement and commitment to school] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Firat University.
- Ersoy, B. (2019). *Calisanlarda orgutsel guven algisi ile orgutsel adanmislik iliskisi: Katilim bankaciligi sektorunde bir uygulama* [Organizational trust perception and organizational commitment in employees: An application in the participation banking sector] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Kirikkale University.
- Erturk, E. (2011). *Ilkogretim ve ortaogretim okullarindaki orgutsel adalet algisi ile ogretmenlerin orgutsel adanmisliklari arasindaki iliski* [The relationship between organizational justice perception in primary and secondary schools and organizational commitment of teachers] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Mehmet Akif Ersoy University.
- Erturk, M. (2014). *Ilkogretim okul mudurlerinin ogretmenler tarafından algilanan liderlik davranislari ile ogretmenlerin orgutsel adanmislik duzeylerinin bazi degiskenler acisindan incelenmesi* [Examination of primary school principals 'perceived leadership behaviors by teachers and teachers' organizational devotional levels in terms of some variables] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Gaziantep University.
- Everett, G. L. (1992). Teacher attitudinal commitment: A function of the school, the teacher and the principles leadership. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 52(8), 27-66.
- Firestone, W. A. (1996). Leadership: Roles or functions? In K. Leithwood, J. Chapman, D. Corson, P. Hallinger & A. Hart (Eds.), *International Handbook of Educational Leadership and Administration Part 2* (pp. 395-418). Kluwer Academic Publishers,.
- Gabarro, J. J. (1978). *The development of trust influence and expectations*. In A. G. Athos, & J. J. Gabarro (Eds.), *Interpersonal behavior: Communication and understanding in relationships* (pp. 290-303). Prentice-Hall.
- Gibb, C. A. (1954). *Leadership*. Penguin Books.
- Gici, A. (2011). *Istanbul'daki vakif universiteleri hazirlik okullarinda calisan İngilizce okutmanlarinin orgutsel adanmislik duzeyi* [Organizational commitment level of English instructors working at foundation universities in Istanbul] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Yildiz Teknik University.

- Grant, C.P. (2011). *The relationship between distributed leadership and principal's leadership effectiveness in North Carolina* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. The Graduate Faculty of North Carolina State University.
- Gronn, P. (2002). Distributed leadership as a unit of analysis. *Leadership Quarterly*, 13(4), 423-51.
- Guclu, H. (2006). *Turizm sektorunde durumsal faktorlerin orgutsel baglilik uzerindeki etkisi* [The effect of situational factors on organizational commitment in the tourism industry]. Anadolu University Tourism and Hotel Management College Publications.
- Gurdogan, A. (2018). *Liderlik davranislari ve orgutsel baglilik* [Leadership behaviors and organizational commitment]. Kriter.
- Halis, M. (2010). İlkogretim okullarında görev yapan öğretmenlerin iş güçlüklerinin örgütsel bağlılıklarına etkisi [The effect of work difficulties of teachers working in primary schools on organizational commitment]. *Dumlupınar University Journal of Social Sciences/ Dumlupınar Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 26(1), 252-266.
- Harris, C. R. (2003). A review of sex differences in sexual jealousy, including self-report data, psychophysiological responses, interpersonal violence, and morbid jealousy. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 7(2), 102-128.
- Harris, A. (2003). Teacher leadership as distributed leadership: Heresy, fantasy or possibility. *School Leadership and Management*, 23(3), 313-324.
- Harris, A., & Spillane, J. (2008). Distributed leadership through the looking glass. *British Educational Leadership, Management & Administration Society*, 22(1), 31-34.
- Harrison, N.L.T. (2005). *The impact of distributed leadership on teachers* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. Indiana University.
- Hartley, D. (2007). The emergence of distributed leadership in education: Why now? *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 55(2), 202-214.
- Hawkins, W. D. (1998). *Predictors of affective organizational commitment among high school principals* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. Faculty of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2010). *Eğitim yönetimi: Teori araştırma ve uygulama* [Education management: Theory research and practice]. Nobel.
- Hulpia, H., Devos, G., Rosseel, Y., & Vlerick, P. (2012). Dimensions of distributed leadership and the impact on teachers' organizational commitment: A study in secondary education. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 42(7), 1745-1784.
- Ince, M., Gul, H. (2005). *Yönetimde yeni bir paradigma: Örgütsel bağlılık* [A new paradigm in management: Organizational commitment]. Cizgi Kitapevi.
- Isik, M. (2018). *Yabancı diller yükseköğretiminde görev yapan öğretmen elemanlarının paylaşılan liderlik davranışlarına ilişkin görüşleri* [Opinions of faculty members working in foreign languages schools on shared leadership behaviors] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Dokuz Eylül University.
- Iskan, S. (2014). *Yabancı diller yükseköğretiminde müdürlerinin dağıtım liderlik davranışlarının öğretmen elemanlarının iş doyumları üzerindeki etkisi* [The effect of distributive leadership behaviors of foreign language school principals on job satisfaction of instructors] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Pamukkale University.
- Jacobs, G. E. (2010). *The relationship between distributed leadership as practiced by principals and the organizational commitment of the teachers* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. Georgia Southern University.
- Kalaz, M. (2016). *Öğretmen görüşlerine göre okul yöneticilerinin etik liderlik özellikleri ile öğretmenlerin örgütsel adanmışlıkları arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi* [Examining the relationship between the ethical leadership characteristics of school administrators and the organizational commitments of the teachers according to the teachers' opinions.] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Yıldız Teknik University.
- Karagoz, A. (2008). *İlk ve ortaöğretim okulu yöneticilerinin öğretmenler tarafından algılanan etik liderlik rolleri ile öğretmenlerin örgütsel adanmışlıkları arasındaki ilişki (Bursa ili örneği)* [The relationship between primary and secondary school administrators' ethical leadership roles perceived by teachers and teachers' organizational commitment (Bursa city case)] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Yeditepe University.
- Karasar, N. (2007). *Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri* [Scientific research methods]. Nobel.
- Karatas, S., & Gules, H. (2010) İlköğretim okulu öğretmenlerinin iş tatmini ile örgütsel bağlılığı arasındaki ilişki [The relationship between primary school teachers' job satisfaction and organizational commitment]. *Usak University Journal of Social Sciences/ Usak Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 3(2), 74-85.
- Katz, D., & Kahn, R. L. (1966). *The social psychology of organizations*, Wiley.

- Khasawneh, S., Aieman, O., & Abu- Tineh, A. M. (2012). The relationship between transformational leadership and organizational commitment: The case for vocational teachers in Jordan. *Sage Journals*, 40(4), 494-508. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1741143212438217>
- Kilinc, T.D., (2013). Sinif ogretmenlerinin okul mudurlerinin donusumcu ve etkilesimci liderlik stilleri ve kendi orgutsel bagliliklerini algilamaları ile okul mudurlerinin sinif ogretmenlerinin orgutsel bagliliklerini algilamaları arasindaki iliski: Mersin ili Tarsus ilcesi ornegi [The relationship between the classmasters' school principals' transformative and interactive leadership styles and their perception of their organizational commitment and their school teachers' perception of their organizational commitment: Mersin province Tarsus district example] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Cag University.
- Kizil, S. (2014). *Ogretmenlerin duygusal zekalari ile orgutsel adanmisliklari arasindaki iliski* [The relationship between teachers' emotional intelligence and organizational commitment] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Okan University.
- Korkmaz, E. (2011). *Ilkogretim okul yoneticilerinin paylasimci liderlik davranislarini gosterme duzeyleri* [The levels of elementary school administrators to show sharing leadership behaviors] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Sakarya University.
- Leithwood, K. A., & Sun, J. (2012). The nature and effects of transformational school leadership: A meta-analytic review of unpublished research. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 48(3), 387-423.
- Mathieu, J. E., & Zajac, D. M. (1990). A review and meta-analysis of the antecedents, correlates, and consequences of organizational commitment. *Psychological Bulletin*, 108(2), 171-194. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.108.2.171>
- Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1997). *Commitment in the workplace, theory, research and application*. Sage.
- Nguni, S., Slegers, P., & Denessen, E. (2006). Transformational and transactional leadership effects on teachers' job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and organizational citizenship behavior in primary schools: The Tanzanian case. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 17(2), 145-177.
- Ozer, N., & Beycioglu, K. (2013). Paylasilan liderlik olceginin gelistirilmesi: Gecerlik ve guvenirlik calismalari [Developing shared leadership scale: Validity and reliability studies]. *Elementary Education Online/ Ilkogretim Online*, 12(1), 77-86.
- Ozden, Y. (1997). Ogretmenlerde okula adanmislik yoneticisi davranislari ile iliskili mi? [Is school devotion in teachers related to manager behavior?] *Journal of National Education/ Milli Egitim Dergisi*, 135(7) 35-41.
- Park, I. (2005). Teacher commitment and its effects on student achievement in American high schools. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 11(5), 461-485.
- Ray, H. (2019). *Okullarda paylasilan liderlik uygulamalari ile ogretmenlerin orgutsel guven duzeyleri arasindaki iliski* [The relationship between leadership practices shared in schools and organizational trust levels of teachers] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Usak University.
- Rutherford, S. (2001). Organizational cultures, women managers and exclusion. *Women in Management Review*, 16(8), 371-382.
- Sammons, P., Hillman, J., & Mortimore, P. (1995). Key characteristics of effective schools: a review of school effectiveness research. *International School Effectiveness & Improvement Centre Institute of Education*, University of London.
- Saricicek, L. (2014). *Gaziantep ili Sehitkamil ilcesindeki ilkokullarda ogretmen goruslerine gore paylasilan liderlik ve okul iklimi* [Leadership and school climate shared in primary schools in Gaziantep province Sehitkamil district according to teachers' views] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Zirve University.
- Saruhan, S. C., & Ozdemirci, A. (2013). *Bilim, felsefe ve metodoloji* [Science, philosophy and methodology]. Beta.
- Sivik, S. (2018). *Ogretmenlerin orgutsel adanmisliklari ile lider-uye etkilesimi ve motivasyonel dil kullanimi arasindaki iliski* [The relationship between teachers' organizational commitment and leader-member interaction and motivational language use] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Kahramanmaraş Sutcu Imam University.
- Sarbay, B., & Bostanci, B. A. (2018). Okullarda paylasilan liderlik uygulamalari ile ogretmenlerin psikolojik sermayeleri arasindaki iliski [Relationship between shared leadership practices in schools and teachers' psychological capital]. *Anatolian Education Leadership and Teaching Journal/ Anadolu Egitim Liderligi ve Ogretim Dergisi*, 6(2), 1-21.
- Savas, A. C., Kosker, E., Demir, S., & Utar, N. (2015). Teachers' perception on the relationship between change leadership and organizational commitment. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, 1(1), 9-18
- Spillane, J. P. (2006). *Distributed leadership*. Jossey-Bass.
- Spillane, J. P., Halverson, R., & Diamond, J. B. (2001). Investigating school leadership practice: A distributed perspective. *Educational Researcher*, 30(3), 23-28. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X030003023>

- Tan, C. (2012). *İlkogretim okul yoneticilerinin takim liderlik davranislarinin ogretmenlerin is doyumunu, orgutsel adanmislik ve orgutsel vatandaslik duzeylerine etkisi* [The impact of team leadership behaviors on teachers ' job satisfaction, organizational commitment and organizational citizenship levels of primary school administrators] [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. Firat University.
- Tan, C. (2016). *İlkogretim okul yoneticilerinin takim liderlik davranislarinin ogretmenlerin is doyumunu, orgutsel adanmislik ve orgutsel vatandaslik duzeylerine etkisi* [The impact of team leadership behaviors on teachers ' job satisfaction, organizational commitment and organizational citizenship levels of primary school administrators]. *Ekev Academy Journal/ Ekev Akademi Dergisi*, 20(68), 65-80.
- Terzi, A.R., & Kurt, T. (2005). İlkogretim okul mudurlerinin yoneticilik davranislarinin ogretmenlerin orgutsel baglilikina etkisi [The effect of primary school principals 'managerial behaviors on teachers' organizational commitment]. *National Education/ Milli Egitim*, 33(166), 98-111.
- Tsui, K. T., & Cheng, Y. C. (1999). School organizational health and teacher commitment: A contingency study with multi-level analysis. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 5(3), 249-268.
- Tuncer, A. (1995). *M.E.B. bilgisayar egitimi ve hizmetleri genel mudurlugu personelinin is doyumunu ve orgutsel adanmislik durumlari* [Job satisfaction and organizational commitment of the Ministry of National Education staff of computer education and services] [Postgraduate specialization thesis]. Turkey and Middle East Public Administration Institute.
- Turhan, M., Demirli, C. ve Nazik, G., (2012). Sinif ogretmenlerinin meslege adanmislik duzeyine etki eden faktorler: Elazig ornegi [Factors affecting the level of teachers' commitment to the profession: Elazig sample]. *Istanbul Commerce University Journal of Social Sciences/ Istanbul Ticaret Universitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 11(21), 179-192.
- Ulusoy, T. (2014). *Okullarda sergilenen dagitimci liderlik davranislari ile ogretmenlerin is doyumunu ve orgutsel adanmisliklari arasindaki iliskiler* [Relationships between distributive leadership behaviors exhibited in schools and teachers ' job satisfaction and organizational devotions] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Gaziosmanpasa University.
- Uslu, B., & Beycioglu, K. (2013). İlkogretim okulu ogretmenlerinin orgutsel bagliliklari ile mudurlerin paylasilan liderlik rolleri arasindaki iliski [Relationship between organizational commitment of primary school teachers and shared leadership roles of principals]. *Journal of Nineteen May University Faculty of Education/ Ondokuz Mayis Universitesi Egitim Fakultesi Dergisi*, 32(2), 323-345.
- Uzun, O. (2011). *Liderlik stilleri ile orgutsel guven ve orgutsel adanmislik arasindaki iliski* [Relationship between leadership styles and organizational trust and organizational commitment] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Usak University.
- Varoglu, D. (1993). *Kamu sektoru calisanlarinin islerine ve kuruluslarina karsi tutumlari, bagliliklari ve degerleri* [Attitudes, commitment and values of public sector workers towards their jobs and institutions] [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. Ankara University.
- Weiss, C. H., & Cambone, J. (1994). Principals, shared decision making, and school reform. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 16(3), 287-301.
- Wood, M. S. (2005). Determinants of shared leadership in management teams. *International Journal of Leadership Studies*, 1(1), 64-85.
- Yener, S. (2014). *Ozel ortaogretim kurumlarinda paylasilan liderlik davranisi ve isten ayrilma niyeti arasindaki iliskide psikolojik guvenlik algisinin araci degisken iliskisinin arastirilmesi* [Investigation of the agent variable relationship of psychological security perception in the relationship between shared leadership behavior and intention to leave work in private secondary education institutions] [Unpublished doctoral thesis]. Halic University.
- Yilmaz, A. I. (2013). *İlkogretim okulu ogretmenlerinin paylasilan liderlik davranislari* [Shared leadership behaviors of primary school teachers] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Dokuz Eylul University.
- Yolac, S. (2011). Yoneticinin algilanan liderlik tarzi ile yoneticie duyulan guven arasindaki iliskide lider-uye etkilesimin rolu. [The role of leader-member interaction in the relationship between the perceived leadership style of the manager and trust in the manager] *Istanbul University Journal of Economics and Administrative Sciences/ Istanbul Universitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Dergisi*, 9(36), 63-72.
- Yukl, G. (2002). *Leadership in organizations* (5th ed.). Prentice-Hall.
- Zog, H. (2007). *Istanbul ili kagithane ilcesinde gorev yapan ilkogretim okulu ogretmenlerinin orgutsel adanmisliklari ile is doyumlari arasindaki iliski* [The relationship between the organizational commitment and job satisfaction of primary school teachers who work in the province of Kagithane in Istanbul] [Unpublished master's thesis]. Yildiz Teknik University.